

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 1/16/2012 9:50:21 AM
To: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
CC:
Subject: RE: Dimock - Data Validation

You are correct the 5 day was only for the select list. However, Cindy seemed to indicate we will be getting unvalidated for the whole list of vols and semi-vols because that what they will be running to get part of our list.

Make sure your team is ready to sift through the results and put them into a easy form to read asap upon receipt of the results.

Richard Rupert
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S.EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514 8773 - MOBILE
rupert.richard@epa.gov

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 01/16/2012 09:33 AM
Subject: RE: Dimock - Data Validation

Rupert,
The Unvalidated Data Requested should have been checked "Yes". We will follow up with Ft. Meade to address this. Also, just to be certain, the 5 day TAT for unvalidated data was only for the select list of analytes? Correct?
Thanks,

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Richard Rupert [mailto:Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 16, 2012 7:47 AM
To: Graves, Suddha
Subject: Re: Dimock - Data Validation

I know we are now at 35 days for validation and would have preferred a shorter time but, with all the back and forth I am willing to live with 35 days as long as we we get our 5 days or less unvalidated. I was just reviewing the Analytical Request Form and it is confused on this point. When I spoke with Sue Warner and Cindy Caporale late last week they were clear on this point.

To answer your question directly - 14 days for the bacteria data and 35 days for the Isotech data is acceptable.

We can talk later if you wish. I am attaching the request form I received from Meade on 9 Jan. Check out at the bottom of the document on the line that presents the following:

Unvalidated Data Requested: No Yes If Yes, TAT Needed: 24hrs 48hrs 72hrs 7days Other (Specify) **See comments for PRs/expedited TATs**

Why do you think "no" was checked?

Richard Rupert
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S.EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514 8773 - MOBILE
rupert.richard@epa.gov

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 01/16/2012 07:26 AM
Subject: Dimock - Data Validation

Good morning,

I wanted to check with you to see if you had anything in mind regarding the desired TATs for Ft. Meade to validate the data packages from TechLaw's subcontracted labs? We are submitting the request to Ft. Meade and on our draft we currently have 14 days for the bacteria data and 35 days for the Isotech data. We'll be getting weekly data packages for the bacteria and that shouldn't require much to validate those. And if there was a problem, wouldn't want to wait that long to find out.

Are you OK with those TATs or did you want another TAT?

Thanks,

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.
2208 Warwood Ave
Wheeling, WV 26003
304-230-1230 (office)
304-830-1441 (cell)
304-232-5006 (fax)